## MR Guided Non-Vascular Interventions

## Jonathan S. Lewin, M.D.

Martin Donner Professor and Chair, Department of Radiology Professor of Oncology, Neurosurgery, and Biomedical Engineering Johns Hopkins University and the Johns Hopkins Hospital Baltimore, Maryland, USA

As the practice of Radiology has evolved during the past two decades, there has been increasing emphasis on intervention, bringing Radiologists into a much more prominent role in the care of patients with a growing list of disorders. In parallel, open surgical procedures have demonstrated a trend toward image-based navigation, endoscopic approaches, and other methods to decrease the invasiveness of more traditional surgery. Radiological interventional skills and surgical minimally-invasive trends have converged to create a burgeoning interest in the use of magnetic resonance imaging for guidance of radiological and surgical procedures.

There are many procedures in which the information provided by MR imaging can be used to *monitor* a therapeutic intervention. Examples include thermal ablation, in which thermal energy is deposited and the resulting tissue changes are continuously or intermittently observed, or surgical intervention, in which tumor resection or cyst aspiration may be intermittently examined. These forms of interventional MRI require much less modification to standard imaging systems because access to the patient is not necessarily required during the monitoring process. The use of MR imaging for interventional procedure *guidance* includes its use by Radiologists and other physicians during manipulation of needles, electrodes, catheters, or laser fibers, and its application by Surgeons to guide endoscopes, scalpels, or curettes. This form of more active intervention requires a significant departure from conventional diagnostic concepts and traditional imaging systems.

There are several technical developments that have facilitated the guidance phase of interventional procedures in a time-efficient manner: 1) the construction of higher-quality low-noise receiver chains has allowed lower field systems to provide relatively high signal-to-noise ratio images; 2) the development of wider-bore shorter magnet designs for higher-field systems; 3) the development of rapid gradient-echo pulse sequences for use in interactive guidance during device placement; 4) the development of an interface between optically-linked 3D digitizer and the measurement control software of the MR imager; and, 5) the ability to view images in the magnetic field through the development of in-room high-resolution RF-shielded monitors and projectors.

One of the most straightforward interventional applications for a cross-sectional imaging modality is percutaneous biopsy and aspiration. The soft tissue contrast and multiplanar capabilities of MR have obvious benefits for guidance of biopsy and aspiration applications, and this application was the first reported clinical use of MR imaging to guide intervention. Another benefit of MR for biopsy of lesions in regions of complex vascular anatomy is the high vascular conspicuity that arises from the flow-related enhancement inherent in two-dimensional gradient-echo imaging.

Much of the excitement surrounding MR image guided procedures has been due to the tremendous sensitivity of MR imaging for temperature change and tissue damage. In these areas, MR imaging provides an unequivocal advantage over the often subtle and indistinct changes noted on CT and ultrasound. Thermal ablation has been performed using several different energy sources, including radiofrequency, laser, cryotherapy, and focused ultrasound. The key advantage of MR that has been driving the application of these techniques has been the ability to monitor tissue destruction in near real-time during the procedure using a variety of MR imaging techniques, allowing interactive repositioning of the interventional device and tailoring of the thermal lesion in order to provide adequate destruction of the targeted tumor and surrounding margin of normal tissue. The ultimate cost-effectiveness of these procedures remains to be proven, although the remarkably lower cost of MR image-guided tumor ablation as compared to open surgical excision provides a strong rationale for the further development of these techniques.

An additional use of MR imaging for percutaneous minimally-invasive treatment is in the guidance and monitoring of direct intralesional drug injection, including injection for sclerotherapy of vascular malformations. The same rapid image updates used for interactive needle placement can be used to monitor the injection of sclerosing agents for the treatment of low-flow vascular malformations. The multiplanar cross-sectional images obtained with MR allow the injection of alcohol or other sclerosing agents to be monitored during administration, to ensure filling of the entire targeted portion of the malformation and to exclude extravasation or dissipation of the agent through venous egress.

## **Synopsis**

Radiological interventional skills and surgical minimally-invasive trends have converged to create a burgeoning interest in the use of magnetic resonance imaging for guidance of radiological and surgical procedures. This includes its use by Radiologists and other physicians during manipulation of needles, electrodes, catheters, or laser fibers, and its application by Surgeons to guide endoscopes, scalpels, or curettes. This form of more active intervention requires a significant departure from conventional diagnostic concepts and traditional imaging systems. This presentation will discuss the evolution from basic concepts in interventional MR through clinical applications, including biopsy, aspiration, thermotherapy, and chemical ablation procedures.

## References

1. Kahn T, Harth T, Bettag M et al. **Preliminary experience with the application of gadolinium-DTPA before MR imaging-guided laser-induced interstitial thermotherapy of brain tumors.** *J.Magn.Reson.Imaging* 1997;7:226-229

2. Vogl TJ, Mack MG, Muller P et al. **Recurrent nasopharyngeal tumors: preliminary clinical results with interventional MR imaging--controlled laser-induced thermotherapy.** *Radiology* 1995;196:725-733

3. Liu H, Martin AJ, Truwit CL. Interventional MRI at high-field (1.5 T): needle artifacts. J.Magn.Reson.Imaging 1998;8:214-219

4. Lufkin R, Teresi L, Hanafee W. New needle for MR-guided aspiration cytology of the head and neck. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 1987;149:380-382

5. Lufkin R, Teresi L, Chiu L, Hanafee W. A technique for MR-guided needle placement. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 1988;151:193-196

6. Duckwiler G, Lufkin RB, Teresi L et al. Head and neck lesions: MR-guided aspiration biopsy. Radiology 1989;170:519-522

7. Wenokur R, Andrews JC, Abemayor E et al. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided fine needle aspiration for the diagnosis of skull base lesions. *Skull Base Surgery* 1992;2:167-170

8. Merkle EM, Lewin JS, Aschoff AJ, Stepnick DW, Duerk JL, Lanzieri CF, Strauss M. Percutaneous MR Image - Guided Biopsy and Aspiration in the Head and Neck. *Laryngoscope* 2000 Mar;110:382-5.

9. Lewin JS, Petersilge CA, Hatem SF et al. Interactive MR imaging-guided biopsy and aspiration with a modified clinical C-arm system. *AJR.Am.J Roentgenol.* 1998;170:1593-1601

10. Hathout G, Lufkin RB, Jabour B, Andrews J, Castro D. MR-guided aspiration cytology in the head and neck at high field strength. *J.Magn.Reson.Imaging* 1992;2:93-94

11. Castro DJ, Lufkin RB, Saxton RE et al. Metastatic head and neck malignancy treated using MRI guided interstitial laser phototherapy: an initial case report. *Laryngoscope* 1992;102:26-32

12. Kahn T, Bettag M, Ulrich F et al. **MRI-guided laser-induced interstitial thermotherapy of cerebral neoplasms.** *J.Comput.Assist.Tomogr.* 1994;18:519-532

13. Anzai Y, Lufkin R, DeSalles A, Hamilton DR, Farahani K, Black KL. **Preliminary experience with MR-guided thermal ablation** of brain tumors. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 1995;16:39-48

14. Jager L, Muller-Lisse GU, Gutmann R, Feyh J, Thoma M, Reiser M. [Initial results with MRI-controlled laser-induced interstitial thermotherapy of head and neck tumors]. *Radiologe*. 1996;36:236-244

15. Vogl TJ, Mack MG, Straub R, Roggan A, Felix R. Percutaneous MRI-guided laser-induced thermotherapy for hepatic metastases for colorectal cancer. *Lancet* 1997;350:29-29

16. Lewin JS, Connell CF, Duerk JL et al. Interactive MRI-guided radiofrequency interstitial thermal ablation of abdominal tumors: clinical trial for evaluation of safety and feasibility. *J.Magn.Reson.Imaging* 1998;8:40-47

17. Jolesz FA, Bleier AR, Jakab P, Ruenzel PW, Huttl K, Jako GJ. MR imaging of laser-tissue interactions. *Radiology* 1988;168:249-253

18. Harth T, Kahn T, Rassek M et al. Determination of laser-induced temperature distributions using echo- shifted TurboFLASH. *Magn.Reson.Med.* 1997;38:238-245

19. Chung, Y. C., Duerk, J. L., Shankaranarayanan, A., Hampke, M., Merkle, E. M., and Lewin, J. S. **Temperature Measurement Using Echo-Shifted Flash at Low Field for Interventional MRI**. J Magn.Reson.Imaging . 1999 Jan;9(1):138-45.

20. Farahani K, Mischel PS, Black KL, De SA, Anzai Y, Lufkin RB. **Hyperacute thermal lesions: MR imaging evaluation of development in the brain.** *Radiology* 1995;196:517-520

21. Boaz TL, Lewin JS, Chung YC, Duerk JL, Clampitt ME, Haaga JR. **MR monitoring of MR-guided radiofrequency thermal** ablation of normal liver in an animal model. *J Magn.Reson.Imaging* 1998;8:64-69

22. Lewin JS, Merkle E, Duerk JL, Tarr R. Low-flow vascular malformations in the head and neck: safety and feasibility of MR imaging-guided percutaneous sclerotherapy--preliminary experience with 14 procedures in three patients. *Radiology* 211(2):566-570, 1999.